(1)

03

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 3184 /2005

PETITIONER : Manisha Tiwari
W/o. Vishwajeet Tiwari
Aged about 28 years
R/o. Ranisagar Ward,
No.12, Pithora, Dist. Mahasamund
(C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through: Secretary Panchayat
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya
Raipur (C.G.)

2. Secretary
Department of Education
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya
Raipur (C.G.)

3. Chief Executive Officer,
Jila Panchayat Mahasamund
District – Mahasamund (C.G.)

4. The Collector
District Mahasamund (C.G.)
Saraipali, Distt Mahasamund
(C.G.)

5. Geetanjali,
D/o. Biranchi Lal Bagarti,
R/o. Vill. Koragarh, Post Baloda,
Via-Saraipali, Dist. Mahasamund
(C.G.)

6. Ku. Kajal Joshi,
D/o. K.K. Joshi,
R/o. Mahavir Colony, Behind
Higher School Field, Mahasamund,
District. Mahasamund(C.G.)

3320/05
3320/05
Presented by Shri R.P. Pradhan
dated 14/7/05

RECEIVED COPY
14.7.
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.3184 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

03.08.2005

Shri R. Pradhan, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1, 2 & 4 on advance copy.

W.P. No. 3184 of 2005:

Heard on admission.

By way of this writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has mainly challenged the appointments of respondents No. 5 & 6 to the post of Shikshakarmi Grade-II (English Teacher) in District Panchayat Mahasamund, District-Mahasamund (C.G.)

Admittedly, the appointments of the respondents No. 5 & 6 are governed by the provisions of M.P. (C.G.) Panchayat Shiksha Karmis (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules, 1997. Rule 12 of the aforesaid rules provides for an appeal against the order passed/covered under the aforesaid rules.

Since there is already provision of appeal which according to me is an efficacious alternative remedy available to the petitioner, I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition. The writ petition is dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for granting him a liberty to file appropriate appeal before the Appellate Authority. This liberty is granted to the petitioner.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3184 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

If so advised, the petitioner may file an appeal before the Appellate Authority under Rule 12 of the aforesaid Rules.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition is finally disposed of at motion stage.

Consequently, M.W.P. No. 2667 of 2005 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)